

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,069	12/18/2001	Ned M. Smith	884.627US1	8363
21186	7590 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			TO, JENNIFER N .	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINITED TO	MININE TO BIO, INIX 33402		2195	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/025,069	SMITH, NED M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer N. To	2195			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 De	ecember 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2002</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ld accepted or b)☐ objected to ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/08/02. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/025,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-25 are presenting for examination.

2. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code (page 6, line 11; page 7, line 19). Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - a. Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are directed to method steps in which can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper, therefore they are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, as claimed, it is uncertain what performs each of the claimed method steps. Moreover, each of the claimed steps, inter alia, (specifying, binding, configuring, scheduling, executing, identifying, defining, locating, searching, and registration) can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper that is not tied to a technological art, environment, or machine which would result in a practical

Art Unit: 2195

application producing a concrete, useful, tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims should be amended to indicate a computer implements the subject matter. (i.e. a computer implemented method).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - b. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter in which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim language in the following claims is not clearly understood:

i. as per claim 1, line 2, it is uncertain what is meant by "a process definition task structure including a plurality of tasks" (i.e. a process definition task structure for a plurality of tasks?); line 3, it is unclear what is the basic for "binding" (i.e. based on the definition task structure?); line 5, it is uncertain what "process information element" refers to (i.e. process definition task structure?); line 6, it is uncertain what is meant by "one datum having a value" (i.e. a value here is referring to process information element or is it meant a value of the resource); line 7, it is not clearly understood how the "scheduling" base on (i.e. specifying process definition task structure, or binding of plurality of resources, or information stored in the cache).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,069 Page 4

Art Unit: 2195

ii. as per claims 11 and 16, they have the same deficiency as claim 1 above.Corrections are required.

- iii. as per claim 21, line 4, it is not clearly indicated what the relationship is between "a process body" and "a process state".
- iv. as per claim 23, line 3, it is unclear what is meant by "interface definition" (i.e. resource profile?).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Candea (U.S. Patent No. 6785756) in view of Hu (U.S. Patent No. 6173322).
- 10. As per claim 1, Candea teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method, comprising:

specifying a process definition task structure including a plurality of tasks (col. 3, lines 2-5);

dynamically binding a plurality of selected resources to the plurality of tasks (col. 3, lines 41-42 and 45-46); scheduling the plurality of resources to execute the plurality of tasks (col. 4, lines 62-64, and fig. 2); and

Page 5

executing the plurality of tasks (col. 5, line 4).

11. Candea does not specifically teach that configuring a cache to store at least one process information element included in the plurality of tasks and at least one datum having a value.

Hu teaches that configuring a cache to store at least one process information element included in the plurality of tasks and at least one datum having a value (col. 12, lines 66-67; col. 13, lines 1-10).

- 12. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of Candea and Hu because Hu's cache for storing process information would improve the throughput of Candea's system by storing needed data in cache to significant time saving when accessing data and/or process information (Hu, col. 3, lines 11-15).
- 13. As per claim 2, Candea teaches that identifying a plurality of intrinsic properties associated with a plurality of designated resources (col. 2, lines 39-42); identifying a plurality of assignable properties associated with the plurality of designated resources

Art Unit: 2195

(col. 2, lines 64-65; col. 8, lines 21-25); and defining a plurality of query predicates associated with the plurality of designated resources (col. 5, lines 44-58).

14. As per claim 3, Candea teaches dynamically binding a plurality of selected resources to the plurality of tasks further comprises:

registration of the pluralities of intrinsic and assignable properties with at least one resource directory (col. 9, lines 29-33);

locating a plurality of resource directories including the at least one resource directory (col. 9, lines 36-43); and

searching the plurality of resource directories to find the plurality of selected resources associated with the plurality of designated resources (col. 9, lines 61-64).

- 15. As per claim 4, Hu teaches that configuring a plurality of access control rules associated with the plurality of selected resources (col. 16, lines 54-57); and delivering a plurality of access credentials associated with the plurality of access control rules to the plurality of selected resources (col. 7, lines 23-25).
- 16. As per claim 5, Hu further teaches that distributing at least one software module to at least one of the plurality of selected resources (col. 6, lines 15-17, and col. 9, lines 44-45); and distributing the plurality of tasks to the plurality of selected resources (col. 2, lines 32-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,069

Art Unit: 2195

17. As per claim 6, Hu teaches pre-fetching the value of the at least one datum 9col.

15, lines 7-9); and storing the value of the at least one datum in the cache (col. 13, lines 24-27).

Page 7

- 18. As per claim 7, Hu teaches revising the value of the datum stored in the cache (fig. 6; col. 7, lines 64-65).
- 19. As per claim 8, Hu teaches revising the value of the datum stored in the cache further comprises:

requesting the value of the datum from a data source (col. 12, lines 59-62);
marking the datum at the data source using a tag associated with a selected one
of the plurality of tasks stored in the cache (col. 13, lines 7-9);

receiving an update notification for the value of the datum (col. 15, lines 6-7); and replacing the value of the datum with an updated value for the datum (col. 15, lines 7-9).

- 20. As per claim 9, Hu teaches removing the tag when the selected one of the plurality of tasks is purged from the cache (col.13, lines 62-67; col. 14, lines 53-56).
- 21. As per claim 10, Hu teaches that monitoring a plurality of results associated with executing the plurality of tasks (col. 11, lines 53-56; col. 18, lines 22-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,069 Page 8

Art Unit: 2195

22. As per claim 11, these are an information system claims that correspond to the method claim 1. Therefore, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claims 1.

- 23. As per claim 12, Hu further teaches a cache update module capable of being communicatively coupled to the cache (col. 6, lines 3-10).
- 24. As per claim 13, this is an information system claim that corresponds to the method claim 10. Therefore, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 10.
- 25. As per claim 14, Candea teaches the specification module and the scheduling module are included in a first computer (fig. 5; col. 11, lines 51-54).
- 26. As per claim 15, Candea teaches the binding module and the cache are included in a second computer capable of being communicatively coupled to the first computer (fig. 5; col. 12, lines 10-11).
- 27. As per claims 16-20, these are an article claims that correspond to the method claims 1-3, 7, and 8. Therefore, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claims 1-3, 7, and 8 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,069

Art Unit: 2195

28. As per claim 21, Candea teaches an article comprising a machine-accessible medium having associated data, wherein the data, when accessed, results in a machine performing: specifying a process body including a plurality of tasks (col. 3, lines 2-5).

Hu teaches that specifying a process state having execution state information (col. 11, lines 53-56; col. 18, lines 22-23).

- 29. As per claim 22, Candea further teaches specifying the at least one operation further comprises: specifying at least one operation conducted at a resource discovery location by a selected resource having a resource profile (col. 9, lines 61-64).
- 30. As per claim 23, Candea further teaches specifying the parameter data further comprises: specifying a service type (col. 3, lines 42-44), an interface definition (col. 9, line 29), and parameter data (col. 3, lines 60-63).
- 31. As per claim 24, Candea teaches specifying the parameter data further comprises: specifying a datum to be revised at a data discovery location by a selected repository having a repository profile (col. 3, lines 63-67).
- 32. As per claim 25, Hu teaches specifying the process state further includes: specifying a process instance identification (col. 15, lines 57-60), a process execution status 9col. 18, lines 22-23), and at least one task result associated with a selected one of the plurality of tasks (col. 11, lines 53-56).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,069 Page 10

Art Unit: 2195

Conclusion

33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jennifer N. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7AM- 4:30 PM, F 7AM- 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer N To Examiner

Art Unit 2195

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**